



ERC Submission and evaluation process





Administrative details

PART A – online forms
 Proposal info (panel/keywords)
 Ethics Issues
 Call Specific Info (doctoral training, extensions, excluded reviewers etc.)

Annexes – submitted as .pdf
 HI support letter
 Copy of PhD extension
 Eligibility extension documents

Scientific proposal


PART B1
 Extended Synopsis 5 pg.
 CV 2 pg.
 Early Achievements Record 2 pg.

PART B2
 Scientific Proposal 15 pg.
 (including Resources section and tables)

Start early and get feedback on drafts.

People who can help you with feedback:

- Colleagues
- **Our team (Ailie & Antonia)**
- ERC panel members you might know.
- ERC winners



Panel evaluate 5 page B1 and CV/track record

Only 3-4 panel members read the proposal

Answers for stage 1 are expected about 5 months after submission



ERC Panels are made up of experts from a broad range of expertise:

B1 must be written to an expert audience who may not be familiar with your specific field.

Panel members sit once every two years for a maximum of 4 sittings.

The names of panel members are not known in advance of submission but there will be about 70% similarity to the panel from two years ago.

Names of panel chairs are usually known prior to submission

Social Sciences and Humanities

- SH1 Markets, Individuals and Institutions
- SH2 The Social World, Diversity and Common Ground
- SH3 Environment, Space and Population
- SH4 The Human Mind and Its Complexity
- SH5 Cultures and Cultural Production
- SH6 The Study of the Human Past

Physical Sciences & Engineering


- PE1 Mathematics
- PE2 Fundamental Constituents of Matter
- PE3 Condensed Matter Physics
- PE4 Physical & Analytical Chemical Sciences
- PE5 Synthetic Chemistry and Materials
- PE6 Computer Science & Informatics
- PE7 Systems & Communication Engineering
- PE8 Products & Process Engineering
- PE9 Universe Sciences
- PE10 Earth System Science

Life Sciences

- LS1 Molecular and Structural Biology and Biochemistry
- LS2 Genetics, Genomics, Bioinformatics and Systems Biology
- LS3 Cellular and Developmental Biology
- LS4 Physiology, Pathophysiology and Endocrinology
- LS5 Neurosciences and Neural Disorders
- LS6 Immunity and Infection
- LS7 Diagnostic Tools, Therapies & Public Health
- LS8 Evolutionary, Population and Environmental Biology
- LS9 Applied Life Sciences and Biotechnology

~70% same members





5 Page B1, CV/track


15 Page B2 including resources



Evaluation of expert external reviewers



Panel review (and interview)




First stage rejection – 1 year (B grade) or 2 year (C grade)
restriction on resubmission of any ERC proposal

Second stage rejection – no restriction on resubmission
 but consider if you want to wait an extra year and go back
 to basically the same makeup of panel members


Evaluation Report Includes:

A panel comment describing the consensus
 decision of the panel based on individual reports,
 the interview and panel discussions.

Individual reports of panel members and external
 reviewers written BEFORE the interview



Submission timeline



General questions and draft editing:

- ❖ **Dr Ailie Marx** ailie@trdf.technion.ac.il
- ❖ **Antonia Martinez-Conde** antoniamc@trdf.technion.ac.il

Administration and budget:

- ❖ **Irit Itkin** irititkin@trdf.technion.ac.il

ERC Synergy




2-4 researchers

Dynamic formation of very broad panels

Proposal has same format as other ERC grants:

5 Page B1, CV/track for each PI, 15 Page B2



Additional stage:
External reviews of **B1** and **B2** help decide who is invited for an interview